

Application No.: 10/815,149
Amdt dated: June 21, 2010
Reply to Office action of March 24, 2010

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This paper is filed in response to the Final Office Action dated March 24, 2010. Claims 10-18 and 24-28 were considered by the Examiner. In this paper, Claim 10 has been amended, no claims have been canceled, and new Claim 29 has been added. Accordingly, Claims 10-18 and 24-29 are now before the Examiner for consideration. No new matter has been added with these amendments.

Summary of the Office Action.

In the Office Action, Claims 10-18 and 24-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Ortiz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,163,945). For at least the reasons discussed below, Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Ortiz Fails to Disclose All of the Recitations of the Claimed Subject Matter.

Ortiz describes a surgical device for applying a surgical clip consisting of a jaw assembly 18, a barrel assembly 20, and a handle assembly 22. (Ortiz, col. 4, lines 4-8). The jaw assembly is connected to a tubular barrel member 50 included in the barrel assembly 20 by a ferrule pin 52. (Ortiz, col. 5, lines 43-45, 49-53). The handle assembly 22 of the surgical device "extends proximally from the barrel assembly 20" and is rotatably connected thereto. (Ortiz, col. 6, lines 5-10). The handle assembly 22 is connected by a ball joint connection to a proximal end of a drive rod 58 included in

Application No.: 10/815,149
Amdt dated: June 21, 2010
Reply to Office action of March 24, 2010

the barrel assembly 20. (Ortiz, col. 6, lines 15-18).

In contrast to the surgical device described by Ortiz, Claim 10 relates to a single clip jaw assembly adapted for use with a handle assembly defining a central longitudinal axis, comprising, among other limitations, a pair of jaws, a pair of the elongate support arms, a bridge, a housing disposed over at least the bridge, and a coupling included in the housing. The coupling is "adapted for *removably attaching* the housing to the handle assembly to an operative disposition *such that the housing is rotationally fixed with respect to the handle assembly* about the central longitudinal axis." (Emphasis added).

As discussed above, the Ortiz device fails to describe a jaw assembly having a housing with a coupling that is adapted for "removably attaching" to a handle assembly "such that the housing is rotationally fixed with respect to the handle assembly," as recited in Claim 10. In contrast, the Ortiz device includes a rotatable connection between the barrel assembly and the handle assembly as well as a ball joint connection between the trigger of the handle assembly and a drive rod of the barrel assembly. (Ortiz, col. 6, lines 5-14).

Accordingly, for at least the reasons discussed above, Claim 10 is distinguishable over the applied art. Claims 11-18 and 24-28 and new Claim 29 depend from Claim 10 and recite additional novel and non-obvious limitations thereon. Accordingly, Claims 11-18 and 24-29 are likewise distinguishable over the applied art for at least the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 10.

Application No.: 10/815,149
Amdt dated: June 21, 2010
Reply to Office action of March 24, 2010

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections set forth in the outstanding Office Action are inapplicable to the present claims. Accordingly, issuance of a Notice of Allowability is most earnestly solicited.

Applicant respectfully traverses each of the Examiner's rejections and each of the Examiner's assertions regarding what the prior art shows or teaches. Although amendments have been made, no acquiescence or estoppel is or should be implied thereby. Any arguments in support of patentability and based on a portion of a claim should not be taken as founding patentability solely on the portion in question; rather, it is the combination of features or acts recited in a claim which distinguishes it over the prior art.

The undersigned has made a good faith effort to respond to all of the rejections in the case and to place the claims in condition for immediate allowance. Nevertheless, if any undeveloped issues remain or if any issues require clarification, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call Applicant's attorney, John F. Heal, at (949) 713-8283 to resolve such issues promptly.

Sincerely

APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES

BY



John F. Heal

Reg. No. 53,008

Tel: (949) 713-8283